

Modified supersymmetry for double tensor multiplet

N. Djeghloul and M. Tahiri

Laboratoire de Physique Théorique d'Oran (LPTO), Université d'Oran, Algérie.

Abstract

An appropriate and very simple modification of the usual extended $N = 2$ supersymmetry algebra is performed in order to consider the so-called double tensor multiplet as an off-shell realization of this latter.

Keywords: Extended $N=2$ supersymmetry; Non-linear algebra; Double tensor multiplet; Off-shell supermultiplets.

Shell document for REVTeX 4.

I. INTRODUCTION

The present paper deals with the off-shell realization of the double tensor multiplet which was first explicitly constructed in [1]. As far as we know, although there are several ways to realize the extended four dimensional $N = 2$ global supersymmetry, no $N = 2$ matter supermultiplet formulation with finite off-shell degrees of freedom is known yet. Although if for the double tensor multiplet the bosonic and fermionic degrees of freedom balance at both on-shell and off-shell levels, the approach given in [1] does not lead to the expected off-shell closure of the corresponding supersymmetry algebra. In order to circumvent this situation, we point out that a suitable modification of the $N = 2$ supersymmetry algebra is possible in the context of nonlinear extension of standard Lie algebras [2]. In this context, we introduce the permutation group S_2 within the extended standard $N = 2$ super Poincaré algebra [3]. This is what is explicitly performed in section two.

In section three, we show that the double tensor multiplet containing two Weyl fermions, two real scalar fields and two 2-form gauge potentials is naturally an off-shell multiplet of the modified superalgebra so that no auxiliary fields are needed. Finally we show that the construction of a nilpotent BRST operator can be considered.

II. MODIFIED N=2 SUPERSYMMETRY ALGEBRA

The possibility of modifying the extended $N = 2$ super Poincaré algebra is based on the observation that the free Lagrangian density and thus the free action of two scalar fields φ_1 and φ_2 (or two spinor fields ψ_1 and ψ_2)

$$L_\varphi = -\partial_\mu \varphi_1^* \partial^\mu \varphi_1 - \partial_\mu \varphi_2^* \partial^\mu \varphi_2, \quad (1)$$

$$L_\psi = -i\bar{\psi}_1 \bar{\sigma}^\mu \partial_\mu \psi_1 - i\bar{\psi}_2 \bar{\sigma}^\mu \partial_\mu \psi_2, \quad (2)$$

is manifestly invariant under a permutation operation $1 \rightleftharpoons 2$. So the finite permutation group S_2 defines a discrete symmetry of these models. This group is constructed by two elements [4]: the identity permutation which leaves invariant the column vector $\begin{pmatrix} O_1 \\ O_2 \end{pmatrix}$ and the

transposition which transforms $\binom{O_1}{O_2}$ into $\binom{O_2}{O_1}$. These two elements are, in matrix notation, given by the two 2×2 matrices (τ_{ij}^a) , $a = 1, 2$ and $i(j) = 1, 2$:

$$\tau^1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \tau^2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3)$$

These two matrices satisfy the following relations (a repeated index means a summation, indices a are never lowered and indices i are never raised)

$$\tau_{ij}^a \tau_{jk}^b = \delta^{ab} \delta_{ik} + \eta^{ab} \eta_{ik}, \quad (4)$$

$$\tau_{ij}^a \tau_{kl}^a = \delta_{il} \delta_{jk} + \eta_{il} \eta_{jk}, \quad (5)$$

introducing $\delta^{ab} = \{1 \text{ for } a = b \text{ and } 0 \text{ for } a \neq b\}$, $\delta_{ik} = \{1 \text{ for } i = k \text{ and } 0 \text{ for } i \neq k\}$, $\eta^{ab} = \{0 \text{ for } a = b \text{ and } 1 \text{ for } a \neq b\}$ and $\eta_{ik} = \{0 \text{ for } i = k \text{ and } 1 \text{ for } i \neq k\}$.

Now, by choosing the scalar fields (φ_1, φ_2) as a two components column vector of S_2 , i.e.,

$$\varphi_i = \begin{pmatrix} \varphi_1 \\ \varphi_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (6)$$

while the fermionic fields (ψ_1, ψ_2) are recasted as

$$\psi^a = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_1 \\ \psi_2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (7)$$

one can explicitly write the S_2 invariance of (1) and (2) in terms of the action of the identity operator s^1 and the transposition operator s^2 defined respectively by

$$\begin{aligned} s^1 \varphi_i &= \delta_{ik} \varphi_k, & s^1 \psi^a &= \delta^{ab} \psi^b, \\ s^2 \varphi_i &= \eta_{ik} \varphi_k, & s^2 \psi^a &= \eta^{ab} \psi^b, \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

satisfying

$$s^1 L_\varphi = L_\varphi, \quad s^1 L_\psi = L_\psi, \quad (9)$$

$$s^2 L_\varphi = L_\varphi, \quad s^2 L_\psi = L_\psi. \quad (10)$$

We now introduce the successive action of a translation P_μ and a transposition s^2 defined by

$$\delta'_\kappa \varphi_1 = \kappa^\mu \partial_\mu \varphi_2, \quad \delta'_\kappa \varphi_2 = \kappa^\mu \partial_\mu \varphi_1, \quad (11)$$

$$\delta'_\kappa \psi_1 = \kappa^\mu \partial_\mu \psi_2, \quad \delta'_\kappa \psi_2 = \kappa^\mu \partial_\mu \psi_1, \quad (12)$$

where κ^μ is an infinitesimal real constant four-vector parameter. One can easily see that, as it is the case for the usual translation, this transformation leads also to an invariance of the Lagrangian densities (1) and (2). One explicitly finds that $\delta'_\kappa L_\varphi$ and $\delta'_\kappa L_\psi$ are total derivatives, i.e., $\delta'_\kappa L_\varphi = -\partial_\nu (\kappa^\nu (\partial_\mu \varphi_2^* \partial^\mu \varphi_1 + \partial_\mu \varphi_1^* \partial^\mu \varphi_2))$ and $\delta'_\kappa L_\psi = -i\partial_\nu (\kappa^\nu (\bar{\psi}_2 \bar{\sigma}^\mu \partial_\mu \psi_1 + \bar{\psi}_1 \bar{\sigma}^\mu \partial_\mu \psi_2))$.

Moreover, the infinitesimal transformations δ' defined by (11) and (12) form an abelian algebra. For two successive transformations δ' of parameters κ and ζ we get $\delta'_\zeta \delta'_\kappa X = \zeta^\nu \kappa^\mu \partial_\nu \partial_\mu X$, where X stands for all the fields. This leads obviously to $(\delta'_\zeta \delta'_\kappa - \delta'_\kappa \delta'_\zeta)X = 0$. One can also remark that these transformations commute with usual translations. On the fermionic fields, for example, we get for a successive application of a transformation δ' of parameter κ and a translation δ of parameter a defined by $\delta_a X = a^\mu \partial_\mu X$, $\forall X$: $\delta_a \delta'_\kappa \psi_1 = \kappa^\mu a^\nu \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \psi_2$, then inverting the order of application of δ' and δ we get $\delta'_\kappa \delta_a \psi_1 = a^\nu \kappa^\mu \partial_\nu \partial_\mu \psi_2$ so that

$$(\delta_a \delta'_\kappa - \delta'_\kappa \delta_a) \psi = 0. \quad (13)$$

The same commutator acting on the scalar fields leads to the same result, then, $(\delta_a \delta'_\kappa - \delta'_\kappa \delta_a)X = 0$, $\forall X$. Finally, we check that the commutator of δ' with rotations (i.e., the transformations of the Lorentz group) closes on δ' . Let us recall that a rotation R_ω of infinitesimal parameters $\omega^\mu{}_\nu$ (six independent "angles" such that $\omega^{\mu\nu} = \omega^\mu{}_\rho \eta^{\rho\nu} = -\omega^{\nu\mu}$) acts on any four-vector as $R_\omega V^\mu = -\omega^\mu{}_\nu V^\nu$ and on any spinor ψ as $R_\omega \psi = -\frac{1}{2} \omega_{\mu\nu} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \psi$ with $\sigma^{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{4}(\sigma^\mu \bar{\sigma}^\nu - \sigma^\nu \bar{\sigma}^\mu)$. Thus we get for a successive application of a transformation δ' of parameter κ and a rotation R of parameter ω : $R_\omega \delta'_\kappa \psi = -\omega^\mu{}_\nu \kappa^\nu \partial_\mu \psi - \frac{1}{2} \kappa^\nu \omega_{\mu\rho} \sigma^{\mu\rho} \partial_\nu \psi$ while $\delta'_\kappa R_\omega \psi = -\frac{1}{2} \omega_{\mu\nu} \sigma^{\mu\nu} \kappa^\rho \partial_\rho \psi$ which leads to

$$(R_\omega \delta'_\kappa - \delta'_\kappa R_\omega) \psi = \delta'_{\omega.\kappa} \psi, \quad (14)$$

where $\omega.\kappa \equiv -\omega^\mu{}_\nu \kappa^\nu$ is the infinitesimal parameter of the resulting $\tilde{\delta}$ transformation. On the scalar field φ we obviously find $R_\omega \delta'_\kappa \varphi = -\omega^\mu{}_\nu \kappa^\nu \partial_\mu \varphi^*$ and $\delta'_\kappa R_\omega \varphi = 0$ since φ is invariant under rotation. The corresponding commutator is then

$$(R_\omega \delta'_\kappa - \delta'_\kappa R_\omega) \varphi = \delta'_{\omega.\kappa} \varphi, \quad (15)$$

so that the combination of a transposition and a translation obey to the same commutation relations of the usual translation within the Poincaré algebra.

We can now define a modified construction for the extended $N = 2$ supersymmetry algebra relying on the nonlinear extension of a Lie algebra. In this context [2], the defining commutator contains, in addition to linear terms, terms that are multilinear in generators, i.e., $[T_a, T_b] = f_{ab}^c T_c + V_{ab}^{cd} T_c T_d$ for quadratically nonlinear algebras. As it was pointed out in [5], such nonlinear generalization has also to satisfy Jacobi identities. As extension of the standard supersymmetry construction where the anti-commutator of two extended supersymmetry transformations closes on translation, we postulate that it closes also on the composition of a translation P_μ and a transposition s^2 , such that

$$\{Q_{i\alpha}, \bar{Q}_{j\dot{\alpha}}\} = 2\delta_{ij}\sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^\mu P_\mu + 2\sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^\mu \tau_{ij}^2 s^2 P_\mu. \quad (16)$$

Where τ_{ij}^2 are the matrix elements defined in (3). In view of what precedes on the commutation relations of this modified translation and the other generators of the Poincaré algebra (translations and rotations) the modified supersymmetry algebra (16) is consistent with all possible Jacobi identities of the whole algebra.

It is also remarkable that (16) can be recasted into the following form

$$\{Q_{i\alpha}, \bar{Q}_{j\dot{\alpha}}\} = 2\sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^\mu \tau_{ij}^a P_\mu^a, \quad (17)$$

where we have defined the modified translation operator P_μ^a as a successive application of a permutation operator s^a defined by (8) and the four dimensional translation operator P_μ

$$P_\mu^a = s^a P_\mu, \quad a = 1, 2 \text{ and } \mu = 0, 1, 2, 3. \quad (18)$$

P_μ^1 is the usual translation (since s^1 is just the identity) while P_μ^2 is the combination of a translation and the transposition operator. It is worth noting that P_μ^2 satisfies just as the usual translation to $P_\mu^2 P^{2\mu} = m^2$ since permutation operators satisfy $(s^a)^2 = 1, \forall a = 1, 2$. One can also see that the reduction to the simple $N = 1$ case leads obviously to standard results since the permutation operations on a set of one object are trivial.

We will now show that the double tensor multiplet is a possible representations of this algebra. Moreover, this multiplet will realize this modified $N = 2$ supersymmetry algebra *off-shell*.

III. THE DOUBLE TENSOR MULTIPLY

The double tensor multiplet was first explicitly constructed in [1] as a generalization of the $N = 1$ supersymmetric multiplet of the gauge spinor superfield [6]. As it was stressed in [1] and despite the fact that the number of bosonic and fermionic degrees of freedom balance off-shell, the off-shell construction fails. In this section we show that this double tensor multiplet is a representation of the above introduced modified $N = 2$ supersymmetric algebra (16) and moreover, a consistent off-shell construction can be performed.

We start from the $N = 2$, $D = 4$ double tensor multiplet containing two Weyl fermions ψ and χ , two real scalar fields $\varphi_i, i = 1, 2$ and two real 2-form gauge potentials $B_{i\mu\nu}, \mu(\nu) = 0, 1, 2, 3$ and $i = 1, 2$. All conventions and notations are the same as in the previous section. In what follows we work in the two component formalism and adopt the standard convention of Wess and Bagger [7]. The Lagrangian density of this multiplet reads

$$L = -i\bar{\psi}\bar{\sigma}^\mu\partial_\mu\psi - i\bar{\chi}\bar{\sigma}^\mu\partial_\mu\chi - \frac{1}{2}\partial_\mu\varphi_i\partial^\mu\varphi_i + \frac{1}{2}H_{i\mu}H_i^\mu, \quad (19)$$

where $H_{i\mu}$ are the Hodge-duals of the field strengths of the 2-form gauge potentials, i.e.

$$H_i^\mu = \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}\partial_\nu B_{i\rho\sigma}, \quad (20)$$

with $\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma}$ ($\varepsilon^{0123} = +1$) being the four-dimensional Levi-Civita tensor.

To see that the double tensor multiplet is a representation of the modified $N = 2$ supersymmetric algebra defined by (16), we first check that (19) is invariant, up to total derivatives, under the following extended $N = 2$ supersymmetric transformations

$$\delta\psi = i\sigma^\mu\bar{\xi}_1\partial_\mu\varphi_1 + i\sigma^\mu\bar{\xi}_2\partial_\mu\varphi_2 + \sigma^\mu\bar{\xi}_1\partial_\mu H_1 + \sigma^\mu\bar{\xi}_2\partial_\mu H_2, \quad (21)$$

$$\delta\chi = i\sigma^\mu\bar{\xi}_1\partial_\mu\varphi_2 + i\sigma^\mu\bar{\xi}_2\partial_\mu\varphi_1 + \sigma^\mu\bar{\xi}_1\partial_\mu H_2 + \sigma^\mu\bar{\xi}_2\partial_\mu H_1, \quad (22)$$

$$\delta\varphi_1 = \xi_1\psi + \xi_2\chi + h.c., \quad (23)$$

$$\delta\varphi_2 = \xi_1\chi + \xi_2\psi + h.c., \quad (24)$$

$$\delta H_1^\mu = 2i\xi_1\sigma^{\mu\nu}\partial_\nu\psi + 2i\xi_2\sigma^{\mu\nu}\partial_\nu\chi + h.c., \quad (25)$$

$$\delta H_2^\mu = 2i\xi_1\sigma^{\mu\nu}\partial_\nu\chi + 2i\xi_2\sigma^{\mu\nu}\partial_\nu\psi + h.c. \quad (26)$$

Then recasting the spinor fields ψ and χ as in (7), such that $\psi^1 = \psi$ and $\psi^2 = \chi$, one can easily write the above $N = 2$ supersymmetric transformations as

$$\delta\psi^a = i\sigma^\mu \bar{\xi}_i \tau_{ij}^a \partial_\mu \varphi_j + \sigma^\mu \bar{\xi}_i \tau_{ij}^a H_{j\mu}, \quad (27)$$

$$\delta\varphi_i = \tau_{ij}^a \xi_j \psi^a + \tau_{ij}^a \bar{\xi}_j \bar{\psi}^a, \quad (28)$$

$$\delta H_i^\mu = 2i\tau_{ij}^a \xi_j \sigma^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu \psi^a - 2i\tau_{ij}^a \bar{\xi}_j \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu} \partial_\nu \bar{\psi}^a. \quad (29)$$

A direct computation leads explicitly to $\delta L = -\partial_\mu (\psi^a \sigma^\mu \bar{\sigma}^\nu \xi_i \tau_{ij}^a \partial_\nu \varphi_j + i\bar{\psi}^a \bar{\sigma}^\mu \sigma^\nu \bar{\xi}_i \tau_{ij}^a H_{j\mu}) - \partial_\mu (\tau_{ij}^a \xi_j \psi^a \partial^\mu \varphi_i - iH_i^\mu \tau_{ij}^a \xi_j \psi^a + h.c.)$. We are now able to compute the action of the commutator of two successive $N = 2$ supersymmetric transformations of parameters ξ (ξ_1, ξ_2) and $\bar{\xi}$ ($\bar{\xi}_1, \bar{\xi}_2$) on each field of the multiplet. Starting with the scalar fields φ_i , we first get

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\xi \delta_{\bar{\xi}} \varphi_i &= i(\xi_k \sigma^\mu \bar{\xi}_k + \bar{\xi}_k \bar{\sigma}^\mu \xi_k) \partial_\mu \varphi_i + i(\xi_k \sigma^\mu \eta_{kl} \bar{\xi}_l + \bar{\xi}_k \bar{\sigma}^\mu \eta_{kl} \xi_l) \eta_{ij} \partial_\mu \varphi_j \\ &\quad + (\xi_k \sigma^\mu \bar{\xi}_k - \bar{\xi}_k \bar{\sigma}^\mu \xi_k) H_{i\mu} + i(\xi_k \sigma^\mu \eta_{kl} \bar{\xi}_l - \bar{\xi}_k \bar{\sigma}^\mu \eta_{kl} \xi_l) \eta_{ij} H_{j\mu}. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Using $\bar{\xi}_i \bar{\sigma}^\nu \xi_j = -\xi_j \sigma^\nu \bar{\xi}_i$, we see that the terms proportional to $\partial_\nu \varphi$ are antisymmetric under the substitution $\bar{\xi} \Rightarrow \bar{\xi}$ such that they are doubled in the commutator $(\delta_\xi \delta_{\bar{\xi}} - \delta_{\bar{\xi}} \delta_\xi) \varphi_i$, while the terms proportional to H are symmetric under the same substitution, thus they disappear when computing this commutator. Explicitly, we get

$$(\delta_\xi \delta_{\bar{\xi}} - \delta_{\bar{\xi}} \delta_\xi) \varphi_i = -2i(\xi_k \sigma^\mu \bar{\xi}_k - \bar{\xi}_k \bar{\sigma}^\mu \xi_k) \partial_\mu \varphi_i - 2i(\xi_k \sigma^\mu \eta_{kl} \bar{\xi}_l - \bar{\xi}_k \bar{\sigma}^\mu \eta_{kl} \xi_l) \eta_{ij} \partial_\mu \varphi_j, \quad (31)$$

which in regard to (16), shows that $(\delta_\xi \delta_{\bar{\xi}} - \delta_{\bar{\xi}} \delta_\xi)$ on the scalar fields φ_i closes off-shell. We turn now to compute the commutator on the spinor fields. A direct evaluation of $\delta_\xi \delta_{\bar{\xi}} \psi^a$ shows that the terms in equations of motion of ψ^a cancel due to the contribution of the supersymmetric variation of $H_{i\mu}$, we then obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\xi \delta_{\bar{\xi}} \psi_\alpha^a &= -2i\zeta_k \sigma^\mu \bar{\xi}_k \partial_\mu \psi_\alpha^a - 2i\zeta_k \sigma^\mu \eta_{kl} \bar{\xi}_l \eta^{ab} \partial_\mu \psi_\alpha^b \\ &\quad - 2i\bar{\zeta}_k \bar{\xi}_k \sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^\mu \partial_\mu \bar{\psi}^{a\dot{\alpha}} - 2i\bar{\zeta}_k \eta_{kl} \bar{\xi}_l \sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^\mu \eta^{ab} \partial_\mu \bar{\psi}^{b\dot{\alpha}}, \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

where we used the identities $(\sigma^\mu \bar{\sigma}^\nu + \sigma^\nu \bar{\sigma}^\mu)_\alpha^\beta = -2\eta^{\mu\nu} \delta_\alpha^\beta$, $\sigma_{\alpha\dot{\alpha}}^\nu \bar{\sigma}_{\dot{\nu}\beta} = -2\delta_\alpha^\beta \delta_{\dot{\alpha}}^{\dot{\nu}}$ and the definition $\bar{\sigma}^{\mu\dot{\alpha}\alpha} = \varepsilon^{\dot{\alpha}\beta} \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta} \sigma_{\beta\dot{\beta}}^\mu$ with $\varepsilon_{12} = \varepsilon^{21} = -1$ is the two-dimensional Levi-Civita tensor. Noticing that the factors of the terms involving the equations of motion of $\bar{\psi}^a$ are symmetric under the substitution $\bar{\xi} \Rightarrow \bar{\xi}$ we end up with the following commutator

$$(\delta_\xi \delta_{\bar{\xi}} - \delta_{\bar{\xi}} \delta_\xi) \psi^a = -2i(\zeta_k \sigma^\mu \bar{\xi}_k - \bar{\xi}_k \bar{\sigma}^\mu \zeta_k) \partial_\mu \psi^a - 2i(\zeta_k \sigma^\mu \eta_{kl} \bar{\xi}_l - \bar{\xi}_k \bar{\sigma}^\mu \eta_{kl} \zeta_l) \eta^{ab} \partial_\mu \psi_\alpha^b, \quad (33)$$

which closes off-shell.

Finally, we check the closure on the fields H_i^μ . Using the identity $\bar{\xi}_i \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu} \bar{\sigma}^\rho \zeta_j = \zeta_j \sigma^\rho \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu} \bar{\xi}_i$ and rearranging terms, we first find

$$\begin{aligned} \delta_\zeta \delta_\xi H_i^\mu = & -2(\xi_k \sigma^{\mu\nu} \sigma^\rho \bar{\zeta}_k - \zeta_k \sigma^\rho \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu} \bar{\xi}_k) \partial_\nu \partial_\rho \varphi_i - 2(\xi_k \sigma^{\mu\nu} \sigma^\rho \eta_{kl} \bar{\zeta}_l - \zeta_k \sigma^\rho \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu} \eta_{kl} \bar{\xi}_l) \eta_{ij} \partial_\nu \partial_\rho \varphi_j \\ & + 2i(\xi_k \sigma^{\mu\nu} \sigma^\rho \bar{\zeta}_k + \zeta_k \sigma^\rho \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu} \bar{\xi}_k) \partial_\nu H_{i\rho} + 2i(\xi_k \sigma^{\mu\nu} \sigma^\rho \eta_{kl} \bar{\zeta}_l - \zeta_k \sigma^\rho \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu} \eta_{kl} \bar{\xi}_l) \eta_{ij} \partial_\nu H_{j\rho}. \end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

When evaluating the commutator $(\delta_\eta \delta_\xi - \delta_\xi \delta_\eta) H_i^\mu$, we can see that all terms proportional to $\partial_\nu \partial_\rho \varphi$ are of type $\xi_k (\sigma^{\mu\nu} \sigma^\rho + \sigma^\rho \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu}) \bar{\zeta}_l - (\bar{\xi} \Rightarrow \bar{\zeta})$ which is identical to $i\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\tau} \xi_k \sigma_\tau \bar{\zeta}_l$ so that all φ contributions in the resulting commutator vanish. At the same time, $\partial_\nu H_{i\rho}$ contributions involve terms of type $\xi_k (\sigma^{\mu\nu} \sigma^\rho - \sigma^\rho \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu}) \bar{\zeta}_l - (\bar{\xi} \Rightarrow \bar{\zeta})$ which is identical to $(\eta^{\mu\rho} \xi_k \sigma^\nu \bar{\zeta}_l - \eta^{\nu\rho} \xi_k \sigma^\mu \bar{\zeta}_l) - (\bar{\xi} \Rightarrow \bar{\zeta})$ so that we end up with the following commutator

$$(\delta_\zeta \delta_\xi - \delta_\xi \delta_\zeta) H_i^\mu = -2i(\zeta_k \sigma^\nu \bar{\xi}_k - \xi_k \sigma^\nu \bar{\zeta}_k) \partial_\nu H_i^\mu - 2i(\zeta_k \sigma^\nu \eta_{kl} \bar{\xi}_l - \xi_k \sigma^\nu \eta_{kl} \bar{\zeta}_l) \eta_{ij} \partial_\nu H_j^\mu, \quad (35)$$

where the identities $\sigma^\mu \bar{\sigma}^\nu \sigma^\rho - \sigma^\rho \bar{\sigma}^\nu \sigma^\mu = 2i\varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\tau} \sigma_\tau$, $\sigma^\mu \bar{\sigma}^\nu \sigma^\rho + \sigma^\rho \bar{\sigma}^\nu \sigma^\mu = 2(\eta^{\mu\rho} \sigma^\nu - \eta^{\nu\rho} \sigma^\mu - \eta^{\mu\nu} \sigma^\rho)$ and $\bar{\zeta}_k \bar{\sigma}^\mu \sigma^\nu \bar{\sigma}^\rho \xi_l = -\xi_l \sigma^\rho \bar{\sigma}^\nu \sigma^\mu \bar{\zeta}_k$ are used as well as the identity $\partial_\nu H_i^\nu = 0$ which follows from the definition (20). This ends the proof that the $N = 2$ supersymmetry transformations (27)-(29) form a supersymmetric algebra that closes off-shell. The $N = 2$ double tensor multiplet $(\psi^a, \varphi_i, H_i^\mu)$ is then an off-shell multiplet of the modified $N = 2$ supersymmetric algebra defined by (16).

It is well known [1] that the double tensor multiplet model has also a special gauge invariance. Indeed, it is easy to check that the Lagrangian density (19) is invariant upon the transformation

$$\delta_\Lambda B_i^{\mu\nu} = \partial^\mu \Lambda_i^\nu - \partial^\nu \Lambda_i^\mu, \quad (36)$$

where Λ_i^μ are the spacetime dependent gauge parameters. Since, by definition (20), the Hodge-duals H_i^μ are obviously invariants upon such a transformation, we have, to make this gauge transformation appear, to replace H_i^μ by the corresponding gauge potentials $B_i^{\mu\nu}$ within the supersymmetric transformations (27)-(29). We find

$$\delta\psi^a = i\sigma^\mu \bar{\xi}_i \tau_{ij}^a \partial_\mu \varphi_j + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \sigma^\mu \bar{\xi}_i \tau_{ij}^a \partial_\nu B_{j\rho\sigma}, \quad (37)$$

$$\delta B_i^{\mu\nu} = -2\tau_{ij}^a \xi_j \sigma^{\mu\nu} \psi^a - 2\tau_{ij}^a \bar{\xi}_j \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu} \bar{\psi}^a, \quad (38)$$

while the supersymmetric transformation of the scalar fields (28) remains the same. We now show that the commutator $(\delta_\zeta \delta_\xi - \delta_\xi \delta_\zeta)$ on the gauge potentials $B_i^{\mu\nu}$ closes, as previously,

off-shell on the combination of translation and permutations but also on the above defined gauge transformation. After a similar computation to (35), we find

$$(\delta_\zeta \delta_\xi - \delta_\xi \delta_\zeta) B_i^{\mu\nu} = -2i(\zeta_k \sigma^\lambda \bar{\xi}_k - \xi_k \sigma^\lambda \bar{\zeta}_k) \partial_\lambda B_i^{\mu\nu} - 2i(\zeta_k \sigma^\lambda \eta_{kl} \bar{\xi}_l - \xi_k \sigma^\lambda \eta_{kl} \bar{\zeta}_l) \eta_{ij} \partial_\lambda B_j^{\mu\nu} + \partial^\mu \Lambda_i^\nu - \partial^\nu \Lambda_i^\mu, \quad (39)$$

where the gauge parameters Λ_i^μ are given by

$$\Lambda_i^\mu = 2i\Lambda_{ijk}^{\mu\nu}(\zeta_j \sigma^\lambda \bar{\xi}_k - \xi_j \sigma^\lambda \bar{\zeta}_k), \quad \Lambda_{ijk}^{\mu\nu} = \tau_{ij}^a \tau_{kl}^a (\eta^{\mu\nu} \varphi_l - B_l^{\mu\nu}). \quad (40)$$

In deriving (39) the identity $\varepsilon_{\kappa\tau\rho\sigma} \varepsilon^{\kappa\mu\nu\lambda} = -[\delta_\tau^\mu (\delta_\rho^\nu \delta_\sigma^\lambda - \delta_\sigma^\nu \delta_\rho^\lambda) - \delta_\tau^\nu (\delta_\rho^\mu \delta_\sigma^\lambda - \delta_\sigma^\mu \delta_\rho^\lambda) + \delta_\tau^\lambda (\delta_\rho^\mu \delta_\sigma^\nu - \delta_\sigma^\mu \delta_\rho^\nu)]$ is used. As it is generally the case in supersymmetric gauge theories, these gauge parameters are field dependent. It is worth noting that comparatively to the standard approach [1] of the double tensor multiplet, in addition to the fact that in the context presented here the off-shell construction is possible, the obtained gauge parameters (40) do not involve explicitly the spacetime coordinates.

Even if the structure of the modified algebra (16) differs from the usual one, we can, in view of the off-shell closure obtained above, consider the construction of a nilpotent BRST operator. Indeed, starting from the modified $N = 2$ supersymmetry transformations (27)-(29), and upon the usual replacement of the symmetry parameters by the corresponding ghost fields of opposite statistics, the corresponding BRST construction follows naturally. Defining the BRST operator Δ on the fields ψ^a , φ_i , $B_i^{\mu\nu}$ as

$$\Delta \psi^a = i\sigma^\mu \bar{\xi}_i \tau_{ij}^a \partial_\mu \varphi_j + \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon^{\mu\nu\rho\sigma} \sigma^\mu \bar{\xi}_i \tau_{ij}^a \partial_\nu B_{j\rho\sigma} + c^\rho \partial_\rho \psi_\alpha^a + \kappa^\rho \eta^{ab} \partial_\rho \psi_\alpha^b, \quad (41)$$

$$\Delta \varphi_i = \tau_{ij}^a \xi_j \psi^a + \tau_{ij}^a \bar{\xi}_j \bar{\psi}^a + c^\rho \partial_\rho \varphi_i + \kappa^\rho \eta_{ij} \partial_\rho \varphi_j, \quad (42)$$

$$\Delta B_i^{\mu\nu} = -2\tau_{ij}^a \xi_j \sigma^{\mu\nu} \psi^a - 2\tau_{ij}^a \bar{\xi}_j \bar{\sigma}^{\mu\nu} \bar{\psi}^a + c^\rho \partial_\rho B_i^{\mu\nu} + \kappa^\rho \eta_{ij} \partial_\rho B_j^{\mu\nu} \quad (43)$$

$$+ \partial^\mu \Lambda_i^\nu - \partial^\nu \Lambda_i^\mu, \quad (44)$$

and on the ghosts fields ξ_i ($\bar{\xi}_i$), c^μ , κ^μ and Λ_i^μ as

$$\Delta \xi = 0, \quad (45)$$

$$\Delta c^\mu = -2i(\xi_k \sigma^\mu \bar{\xi}_k), \quad (46)$$

$$\Delta \kappa^\mu = -2i(\xi_k \sigma^\mu \eta_{kl} \bar{\xi}_l), \quad (47)$$

$$\Delta \Lambda_i^\mu = 2i\tau_{ij}^a \tau_{kl}^a (\eta^{\mu\nu} \varphi_l - B_l^{\mu\nu}) (\xi_k \sigma^\mu \bar{\xi}_l), \quad (48)$$

it is straightforward to show its off-shell nilpotency, i.e., $\Delta^2 X = 0$, $\forall X$.

IV. CONCLUSION

The main result of this work is that an alternative construction of extended $N = 2$ supersymmetry is possible. This is done in the context of nonlinear extensions of standard Lie algebra by a suitable introduction of the permutation group S_2 . The additional nonlinear term being a composition of a translation and a transposition. The obtained algebra being a quadratically nonlinear extension of the standard $N = 2$ supersymmetric algebra. The presented result is quite different from the standard extended $N = 2$ supersymmetry, but leads to a consistent algebraic construction. The reduction to the $N = 1$ case leads to usual supersymmetry due to the triviality of the permutation group S_1 which contains only the identity. Such a modified extended $N = 2$ supersymmetric algebra (16) admits as representation the double tensor multiplet, which is in particular, relevant to type IIB superstring vacua [8].

We have shown that an off-shell construction is possible, i.e. *without relying on field equations*. This result shows that the modified extended $N = 2$ supersymmetry presented here has clearly an advantage on the usual extended $N = 2$ supersymmetry approach in which such an off-shell construction fails.

Let us point out that in deriving the general realization of supersymmetry algebra, only continuous groups (in particular Lie groups) are usually considered (see e.g. [7]). Moreover, if a systematic procedure can be considered in order to give the off-shell version of any given open gauge (local) theory [9], no such systematic approach is available in the context of global (rigid) symmetries such as extended matter supersymmetry. The approach developed here in which the group of permutations shows up within the nonlinear extension of the usual $N = 2$ supersymmetry algebra can offer a new perspective for investigating the off-shell structure of extended supersymmetric models (e.g. $N = 4$ supersymmetric models).

-
- [1] F. Brant, Nucl. Phys. B **587** (2000) 543.
 - [2] A. Kent, "*Normal ordered Lie algebras*". Princeton preprint IASSNS-HEP-88/04 (1988).
 - [3] L. Frappat, A. Sciarrino and P. Sorba, "*Dictionary on Lie Algebras and Superalgebras*", (Academic Press, 2000).

- [4] H.F. Jones, "*Groups, Representations and Physics*" (IOP Publishing Ltd. 1998).
- [5] K. Schoutens, A. Sevrin and P. van Nieuwenhuizen, Commun. Math. Phys. 124, 87-103 (1989).
- [6] W. Siegel, Phys. Lett. B85 (1979) 333.
- [7] J. Wess and J. Bagger, "*Supersymmetry and Supergravity*" (Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press, 1983).
- [8] J. Louis and K. Förger, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **55** B (1997) 33.
- [9] N. Djeghloul and M. Tahiri, Phys. Rev. D **66**, 065010 (2002).